UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
HOWARD AYERS,	
Plaintiff,	

-\/-

DECISION AND ORDER 12-CV-0656A

JAN 23 2013

J. ESGROW, et al.,

Defendants.

Plaintiff has requested appointment of counsel (Docket No. 4). The province of information before the Court at this time to make the necessary assessment of plaintiff's claims under the standards promulgated by *Hendricks v. Coughlin*, 114 F.3d 390, 392 (2d Cir. 1997), and *Hodge v. Police Officers*, 802 F.2d 58 (2d Cir. 1986), as issue has yet to be joined. Therefore plaintiff's motion for appointment of counsel is denied without prejudice at this time. It is the plaintiff's responsibility to retain an attorney or press forward with this lawsuit *pro se.* 28 U.S.C. § 1654.

SO ORDERED.

Dated:

<u>リタル・ル</u> , 2013 Rochester, New York

CHARLES J. SPRAGUSA
United States District Judge